## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Corey Duggins, C/A: 2:20-cv-02935-SAL

Plaintiff,

v.

Officer Padilla, Officer Ratley, and Warden M. Travis Bragg,

Defendants.

**ORDER** 

This matter is before the court for review of the September 15, 2021 Report and Recommendation (the "Report") of United States Magistrate Judge Mary Gordon Baker, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). [ECF No. 33.] In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends dismissing this action with prejudice and without issuance and service of process. *Id.* at 9. Attached to the Report was a notice advising Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. *Id.* at 10. Plaintiff has not filed objections, and the time for doing so has expired.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of only those portions of the Report that have been specifically objected to, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify the Report, in whole or in part. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of objections, the court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report and must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to

2:20-cv-02935-SAL Date Filed 03/28/22 Entry Number 37 Page 2 of 2

accept the recommendation." *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the Report, the applicable law, and the record of this case in accordance with the above standard, the court finds no clear error, adopts the Report, ECF No. 33, and incorporates the Report by reference herein. As a result, this action **DISMISSED** with prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

March 28, 2022 Florence, South Carolina /s/Sherri A. Lydon Sherri A. Lydon United States District Judge